LRTP PUBLIC SURVEY

Note: Not every form completed in full

Transportation system:

	Very Good		…….		Unacceptable	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	Count
Congestion levels on major street during peak time	1	9	13	6	5	34
Condition of major streets and highways.	1	13	12	10	2	38
Availability of bike paths.	5	3	6	8	15	37
Availability of public transit services	4	6	5	4	18	37
Sidewalks and crosswalk areas.	1	5	11	12	10	39
Traffic safety and controls on major streets including railroad crossings	1	7	13	14	2	37
Neighborhood traffic safety	3	9	11	9	3	35
TOTAL	16	52	71	63	55	257
					Avg	37

	1	2	3	4	5	
Congestion levels on major street during peak time	3%	26%	38%	18%	15%	100%
Condition of major streets and highways.	3%	34%	32%	26%	5%	100%
Availability of bike paths.	14%	8%	16%	22%	41%	100%
Availability of public transit services	11%	16%	14%	11%	49%	100%
Sidewalks and crosswalk areas.	3%	13%	28%	31%	26%	100%
Traffic safety and controls on major streets including railroad crossings	3%	19%	35%	38%	5%	100%
Neighborhood traffic safety	9%	26%	31%	26%	9%	100%

Roadways: 1 being "Very Important" and 5 being "Not Important."

	Very Important		…..		Not Important	
	1	2	3	4	5	Count
The condition and smoothness of roadway pavements	9	9	8	4	6	36
The level of traffic flow and congestion	8	11	9	2	8	38
The presence of pavement markings, intersection lighting, and readable signs	8	10	9	2	6	35
Adequate lane and shoulder widths with turn lanes at all major intersections	10	11	6	2	8	37
Sufficient distance to detect a hazard in a roadway to allow for safe maneuvering	4	15	9	2	8	38
TOTAL	39	56	41	12	36	184
					Avg	37

	1	2	3	4	5	
The condition and smoothness of roadway pavements	25%	25%	22%	11%	17%	100%
The level of traffic flow and congestion	21%	29%	24%	5%	21%	100%
The presence of pavement markings, intersection lighting, and readable signs	23%	29%	26%	6%	17%	100%
Adequate lane and shoulder widths with turn lanes at all major intersections	27%	30%	16%	5%	22%	100%
Sufficient distance to detect a hazard in a roadway to allow for safe maneuvering	11%	39%	24%	5%	21%	100%

Bicycle/Pedestrian Features: 1 being "Very Important" and 5 being "Not Important."

	Very Important		…..		Not Important	
	1	2	3	4	5	Count
Enhancing the safety of crosswalks across major streets	9	13	6	3	5	36
Building more dedicated "off-road" paths for walking and biking to schools and employment centers	14	1	4	3	0	22
More recreational biking trails linking communities, parks and open spaces	10	11	12	8	1	42
Widening shoulders or adding bike lanes on roadways to provide better "on-road" accommodation for bicycling	12	9	7	5	2	35
Completing missing portions of the sidewalk system along major roads	13	10	8	4	4	39
TOTAL	58	44	37	23	12	174
					Avg	35

	1	2	3	4	5	
Enhancing the safety of crosswalks across major streets	25%	36%	17%	8%	14%	100%
Building more dedicated "off-road" paths for walking and biking to schools and employment centers	64%	5%	18%	14%	0%	100%
More recreational biking trails linking communities, parks and open spaces	24%	26%	29%	19%	2%	100%
Widening shoulders or adding bike lanes on roadways to provide better "on-road" accommodation for bicycling	34%	26%	20%	14%	6%	100%
Completing missing portions of the sidewalk system along major roads	33%	26%	21%	10%	10%	100%

Long Range Transportation Plan: 1 means "Very Important" and 7 means "Little Important."

	Very Important		…..		Not Important			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Count
Planning for widening of busy roads	9	11	6	3	7	2	1	39
Planning for safety and traffic flow improvements at intersections	15	5	2	8	6	1	0	37
Planning for the ongoing maintenance and preservation of streets and highways	12	5	4	7	6	1	0	35
Planning for new interchanges and roads to respond to future growth	11	5	4	7	8	0	2	37
Planning for more bicycle paths and trails	9	8	4	3	7	4	2	37
Improving bicyclist and pedestrian safety	9	6	9	7	7	2	0	40
Planning transit service within and between cities in Craighead County	12	6	7	1	5	2	3	36
TOTAL	77	46	36	36	46	12	8	261
							Avg	37

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Planning for widening of busy roads	23%	28%	15%	8%	18%	5%	3%	100%
Planning for safety and traffic flow improvements at intersections	41%	14%	5%	22%	16%	3%	0%	100%
Planning for the ongoing maintenance and preservation of streets and highways	34%	14%	11%	20%	17%	3%	0%	100%
Planning for new interchanges and roads to respond to future growth	30%	14%	11%	19%	22%	0%	5%	100%
Planning for more bicycle paths and trails	24%	22%	11%	8%	19%	11%	5%	100%
Improving bicyclist and pedestrian safety	23%	15%	23%	18%	18%	5%	0%	100%
Planning transit service within and between cities in Craighead County	33%	17%	19%	3%	14%	6%	8%	100%

Transportation improvements: 100 points																					
	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30	31-35	36-40	41-45	46-50	51-55	56-60	61-65	66-70	71-75	76-80	81-85	86-90	91-95	96-100
Sidewalk construction and repair	2	9	3	8	1	3				2				1						
Bikeway construction on roads and greenways	4	16	1	5	5	1		1		3										
Widening and building of major streets and highways	5	6	3	9	5	6		2		2										1
Improving condition of roadways (fix pot holes, resurface, better signage, other)	2	3	4	3	5	1				1										
Improving street aesthetics (street trees, street lighting, planted medians, clean shoulders, other)	5	18	1	3						1										
Improving traffic flow (control number of driveways, medians, coordinated signals, other)	3	9	2	2	2	1														
Develop and Maintaining adequate public transportation (buses, bus stops, routing, other)	8	3	2		2	2		2		1										
TOTAL	27	55	13	22	19	11	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Funding: 1 being "Very important" and 6 being "Not Important."																				
	Very Important		.....	Not Important																
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Count													
Increase the gas tax to do more projects	3	5	4	0	2	12	26			12%	19%	15%	0%	8%	46%	100%				
Charging new developers for the full cost of needed transportation improvements to address traffic growth	12	6	3	2	3	3	29			41%	21%	10%	7%	10%	10%	100%				
Using a local sales tax to fund high priority projects	11	8	6	1	2	5	33			33%	24%	18%	3%	6%	15%	100%				
Funding the maintenance of roads with a utility fee (tolls on roads)	4	1	4	3	5	10	27			15%	4%	15%	11%	19%	37%	100%				
Funding the maintenance of roads with a higher property tax	2	1	3	9	4	5	24			8%	4%	13%	38%	17%	21%	100%				
Using government bonds (borrowing) to fund high priority projects	16	5	6	1	1	1	30			53%	17%	20%	3%	3%	3%	100%				
TOTAL	48	26	26	16	17	36	169													
						Avg	28													
Only 27 Surveys had this available																				
Your Address (Zip Code)	72401	72404	72416																	
	4	1	1																	
	18-35	36-50	51-65	65+	Avg															
Your Age:	5	7	10	2																
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7+													
Number of Family Members:	3	10	2	5	2	1	1													
	1	2	3	4																
Numbers of cars in the Family:	5	11	3	5																
	21-50	51-75	100	100-125	150-175	200-250	251-300	301-500												
Average number of miles driven by you per week:	4	1	5	2	1	2	2	4												